

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,391	PARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Davis	1722	

All Participants:

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(2) John C. Eisenhart.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 August 2006

Time: 11:24

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
25

Prior art documents discussed:
None.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Eisenhart to suggest adding the word "to" after the word "configured" on line 7 of claim 25. Mr. Eisenhart agreed to the change to correct the typographical error.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)